

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN INQUIRY INTO INTRALATA TOLL)	
COMPETITION, AN APPROPRIATE)	ADMINISTRATIVE
COMPENSATION SCHEME FOR COMPLETION)	CASE NO. 323
OF INTRALATA CALLS BY INTEREXCHANGE)	PHASE II
CARRIERS, AND WATS JURISDICTIONALITY)		

O R D E R

On October 16, 1991, AT&T Communications of the South Central States ("AT&T") filed a motion to compel South Central Bell Telephone Company ("South Central Bell") to respond to its data request items 1 through 7 and GTE South Incorporated ("GTE South") to respond to its data request items 1 through 5 and 7. On November 1, 1991, South Central Bell responded to AT&T's motion, and on November 5, 1991, GTE South responded to AT&T's motion.

AT&T moves the Commission to order South Central Bell and GTE South to provide information concerning intraLATA access compensation, expense, revenue requirement, access minutes of use, billed revenue, whether compensation in addition to access charges is required to meet revenue requirement, whether intraLATA revenue losses have resulted from intraLATA traffic being carried by interexchange carriers, and the intrastate average cost per minute for providing access. AT&T asserts that this information is relevant to a determination of whether compensation would be appropriate.


South Central Bell and GTE South argue that AT&T's data requests are irrelevant to the Commission's determination of whether interexchange carriers will be ordered to compensate local exchange carriers for intraLATA calls completed. South Central Bell and GTE South further contend that whether compensation is necessary for the local exchange carriers' revenue requirements to be satisfied is not at issue in this proceeding.

The Commission, having considered AT&T's motion to compel and the responses of South Central Bell and GTE South and having been otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that AT&T's motion be denied. The information requested by AT&T is not relevant to the determination of whether a compensation scheme for intraLATA call completion is reasonable under all the facts and circumstances of this proceeding. Moreover, much of the information sought by AT&T is a matter of public record and thus otherwise available to AT&T.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 8th day of November, 1991.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman

ATTEST:


Executive Director

Commissioner